AFP American Free Press
Vol X #19 May 10, 2010 americanfreepress.net



Is Power Elite Planning New Third Party?

POWERFUL FORCES seem to be laying the ground-work for staging a "third party" rebellion under their control. Don't let them lead good patriotic Americans astray. Here's the story you need to know about. You won't read it anywhere else..

By Michael Collins Piper

Grass-roots Americans — fed up with corrupt and incestuous Republican-and Democratic-politics-as-usual — would love to see a genuine "third" party or independent movement rise up to throw the rascals out and restore the Constitution, bring back fiscal sanity and put an end to senseless imperial wars of conquest around the globe.

However, what many of those grass-roots Americans do not recognize is that there is — even now — a not-too covert effort afoot to bring some sort of "new" party into being in time for the 2012 presidential election. The problem is that those working to conjure up this "new" movement are the very people responsible for the mess that America is in today.



RUPERT MURDOCH
FOX News boss.

In fact, elements working behind the scenes — using the self-appointed "mainstream media" as their megaphone — seem to be setting the stage to spring some sort of "centrist," "bipartisan" or "coalition"-type opposition movement on the American people.

Americans will be told that this is the solution to the gridlock and partisan squabbling now plaguing Washington and that they finally have a "real alternative" to those bickering Democrats and Republicans who've brought morass and malaise to the American system.

The recent rise of a "third" party in Britain — the Liberal Democrats — now part of a new coalition government in partnership with the Conservative (or "Tory") Party — is being hailed as an example Americans can follow, in the tradition of our so-called "Mother Country."

The American people do need an alternative to the Democrats and the Republicans. But right now they need to know that the "solution" being set in place is not a real solution at all.

Here's the story, one that you've never heard presented anywhere else before: an assembly of diverse material that demonstrates clearly that there is at work an effort to hoodwink people and lead them into a trap that will ensure that the elite retain control in the face of expanding public awareness that there's something wrong in Washington.

The truth is that one of the primary reasons that the mass media—including *The Washington Post* and *The New York Times* —on an almost daily basis has been hyping the so-called "tea party" movement is that these elite media powers want to keep the pot boiling. That's evidently part of the bigger agenda in the campaign to create a new political movement that will be under the control of the big money interests.

GROVER NORQUIST
CFR man behind Tea Party.

In fact, although few grass-roots tea party supporters know it, a major player behind the initial "from the top" orchestration of the tea party phenomenon was Grover Norquist, a figure in high-level Republican and conservative circles who was recruited some years ago into the ranks of Council on Foreign Relations (CFR).

The CFR is the New York-based affiliate of the Royal Institute of International Affairs in London, the de facto foreign policy arm of the Rothschild banking dynasty whose tentacles reach into the privately owned money monopoly known as the Federal Reserve System, which controls the American economic system.

Likewise, another power behind the sudden burst of the tea party into national prominence was Fox News, the centerpiece of the global media empire of pro-Zionist hard-liner Rupert Murdoch, whose own rise to international influence came as a result of the sponsorship of the Rothschild dynasty, a point explored in some depth in various aspects in this author's works *The Judas Goats* and *The New Babylon*.

So while there are many good people involved in the tea party, it might be said that there are some suspicious elements at work, utilizing the movement for their own insidious purposes. And one of those purposes, it does appear, is the orchestrated "reform" of the two-party system. But real reform is not what these intriguers have in mind.

Why, some might ask, would the power elite — who have benefited from a strangle hold on the traditional two-party system — want to bring it toppling down?

Billionaire American wheeler-dealer Warren Buffett of Nebraska has been a long-time U.S.-based satellite of the Rothschild banking dynasty, whose titular head, Lord Jacob Rothschild of London, is shown here with Buffett and outgoing California Governor Arnold Schwarzeneggar. Buffett and the Rothschilds have a substantial controlling interest in the *Washington Post* publishing empire which is promoting the rise of a new "centrist" political movement even as they are building up Sarah Palin as an "extremist" force whom they would be happy with in control of the Republican Party. Schwarzeneggar is often toasted as a "middle of the road" politician to be emulated and admired.

The answer is simple: The power elite recognize that there is widespread discontent among American voters, and those high-level manipulators want to ensure that they are able to contain and corral that discontent, channeling it into a "controlled opposition," even if that means setting up a new "centrist" party or movement to do it.

At the same time, of course, they want to be certain that they can direct the internal politics of both the Democratic and Republican parties, making certain that legitimate challengers to politics as usual are kept on the sidelines and marginalized.

That is why, for example, *The Washington Post* and its sister publication, *Newsweek*, which is now on the auction block, have been promoting the political fortunes of Sarah Palin, advancing her move toward the Republican presidential nomination in 2012.

Mrs. Palin is clearly perceived by the elite interests who control the Post as a "blocking candidate," who has the capacity to frustrate the ambitions of a genuine maverick Republican such as Ron Paul, whose refusal—unlike Palin—to endorse American imperial globalism and warmongering is considered a potential danger should he make further advances in the GOP arena.

Will big media and big money "give" the GOP to Sarah Palin in order to provoke a "centrist" rebellion in 2012?

So Sarah Palin is clearly being used as a shameless tool. Yet, paradoxically, should Mrs. Palin capture the GOP nod, this would further the elitist aim of saying that the Republican Party was now fully in the control of "extreme" elements and that "middle of the road" GOP voters should begin looking elsewhere for a "centrist" alternative since, of course, most Republicans would find it hard to stomach voting for Barack Obama or any other likely nominee of the hated socialists in the
Democratic Party.

One point that is little noticed and hardly mentioned regarding the "liberal" elements of the Democratic Party is the fact that many supporters of Israel within the tightly knit group of families and financial interests who control the major print and broadcast media in America are concerned that there is a growing opposition to Israel within the "left wing" of the Democratic Party. Polls consistently demonstrate that Republicans across the country are far more strongly in support of Israel than Democrats, who for many years were considered the bedrock of support for Israel in the American political arena. Not so these days.

And now that all of the key Jewish lobby organizations, including the World Jewish Congress, the American Israel Public Affairs Committee, the American Jewish Committee and the Anti-Defamation League, have loudly condemned the Obama administration for its perceived hostility and intransigence toward Israel, the concerns about Obama and the Democratic "left" have been exacerbated even further.

Polls show that 27 percent of Israelis consider Obama to be "anti-Semitic."

Both former "liberal" Republican Sen. Lincoln Chafee (left) and "conservative" Republican guru Newt Gingrich (center) are making noises (one publicly, the other privately) about retiring Democratic Senator Evan Bayh (right) being a possible figure in a new "centrist" coalition in the American political arena. Chafee is directly talking "third" party; Gingrich—a devotee of British-style politics—sees Bayh (a fellow member of the Council on Foreign Relations) as part of a coalition similar to that which was just assembled in the British parliament. You heard it here first.

A hint that there were high-level forces pulling the rug out from under Obama and laying the groundwork for even more substantial political convulsions on the national level came when David S. Broder opined in *The Washington Post* on Jan. 21 that the election of Republican Scott Brown to succeed the late Edward M. Kennedy in the Massachusetts Senate race was "a vote of no confidence" for the president and "Democratic controlled Washington." Broder concluded by saying that "Obama may recover . . . but it will take a significant change of direction to turn things around."

While most Americans have never heard of Broder (a longtime member of the CFR), it is no exaggeration to say that, "When David Broder speaks, people listen." Broder's *Post* column is considered "must" reading among establishment insiders in Washington. He is regularly hailed as the "dean" of America's political pundits.

While publicly identified as being the fiefdom of the Meyer-Graham publishing enterprise, the Washington Post Company, which is perceived to be a tightly held family concern, is actually an American extension — like the CFR — of the global empire of the Rothschild banking dynasty. Rothschild-connected holding companies and, in particular, longtime Rothschild family associate, Nebraska-based billionaire Warren Buffett, have a considerably greater stake in the Post Company than even the Meyer-Graham family.

All of this having been said, it is critical to understand that the *Post*, as one of the foremost media powers on American soil, has been in the forefront of propagating the theme that some sort of "centrist" challenge
to the two-party system as now constituted is in order.

For example, on Feb. 25, the Rothschild-dominated *Post* featured a prominently placed item entitled "Washington rancor angers bipartisan town." The article proclaimed that even in Newtown, Pa.—one of the famed well-to-do "Mainline" suburbs of Philadelphia where so-called middle-of-the-road or centrist Democrats and Republicans alike have always competed on an even level in local, state and federal elections—disgust with partisan gridlock in Washington is growing steadily.

The *Post* asserted that the situation in Newtown is reflected all across the country in like-minded communities said by the *Post* to reflect "what political strategists consider the disaffected middle."

What this means, effectively, in the carefully crafted code words utilized by the *Post*, is that many people consider both the Democrats and the Republicans to be "too extreme"—the Democrats perceived to be "too left wing" and the Republicans to be "too right wing."

Putting aside the argument as to what constitutes either "left" or "right" politically, the point is that the *Post* is suggesting, as it has repeatedly in recent months, joined by *The NewYork Times*, that Americans are looking for a "middle ground" or "centrist" alternative.

On May 2, the *Post* — which heretofore never had anything good to say about relatively larger-scale "independent" or "third party" efforts, ranging from those of George Wallace, Ross Perot or Pat Buchanan to Ralph Nader — featured a lead item in its much-read Sunday opinion section raising the question: "Should the two party system be challenged?"

That the *Post* would even open up the question for discussion is very telling. While opinions, both pro- and con-, were presented, the words of one commentator in particular, Dan Schnur, director of the University of Southern California's Unruh Institute of Politics, reflected precisely the tone of recent and notably repetitive *Washington Post* commentary and reportage regarding the issue.

While Schnur says that the emergence of a third party is unlikely "anytime soon," he suggests that "angry centrists" have "the best opportunity they've had in many, many years" over the next six months to begin laying the foundation for "the need for a new, centrist political entity that will free the country from the grip of liberal and conservative extremists." He points out that a bevy of "besieged middle-of-the-road political figures could conceivably remake the American political landscape."

As examples, Schnur cites such figures as longtime liberal Sen. Blanche Lincoln (D-Ark.), who is now actually being seriously challenged for renomination by a candidate perceived to be even more liberal than even Lincoln herself; Republican-turned-Democrat Arlen Specter of Pennsylvania, who gave up running for renomination in the GOP primary facing a serious conservative challenge only to find his reelection endangered by a fight for renomination in the Democratic Party; and Florida Republican Gov. Charlie Crist, who, failing badly in his bid for the GOP Senate nomination against a conservative challenger, has now, to great fanfare in the "mainstream" media, announced his campaign as an independent.

And it's probably no coincidence that Schnur should be one echoing the *Post's* propaganda line in so many respects. In 2008, Schnur was the communications director for vaunted "maverick" Sen. John McCain, the GOP presidential nominee that year, who, just four years before, was being touted as a possible partyjumping running mate for Democratic presidential candidate Sen. John Kerry.

Perhaps not surprisingly,considering his own conflicts over the years with more "conservative" elements in his own party, McCain himself faces a renomination challenge—from the "right"—from former Rep. J. C. Hayward.

What is particularly interesting in that another featured commentator in the *Post's* give-and-take on the issue was former GOP House Speaker Newt Gingrich, who said that a third party challenge was "not a path America should follow."

What Gingrich did not say, however, was something that Washington insiders do know: the fact that Gingrich has been privately "mentioning" to figures in the capital city's power elite that he is considering picking retiring Democratic Sen. Evan Bayh of Indiana (who — like Gingrich — is another member of the CFR) as his running mate if he (Gingrich) manages to procure the Republican Party's 2012 presidential nomination. This is apparently the "Gingrich Solution" to partisan gridlock, some sort of "bipartisan, middle-of-the-road" approach.

Gingrich: Front Man for Shady, Shadowy Interests

Former House Speaker Newt Gingrich is still considerably influential in high-level political circles in no small part because of the fact that his substantial political and public relations enterprises have been bankrolled by Sheldon Adelson, an international gambling tycoon.

Known for his devotion to the interests of Israel, Adelson, said to be the third-richest American, has been described by the web site of the American-Israeli Cooperative Enterprise as "the world's richest Jew."

It should also be noted that Gingrich benefited from the lucrative Israeli-connected intrigues of his second wife, Marianne, whom he divorced some years ago, and who was on the payroll of the Israel Export Development Company (IEDCO), which was promoting the importation into the United States of Israeli products — even as Gingrich was using his influence as a member of Congress to advance U.S.-Israeli trade.

The aforementioned IEDCO was an operation run by mob-connected Larry Silverstein, the billionaire owner of theWorld Trade Center towers at the time of the 9-11 tragedy, best known for his now infamous urging — "pull it" — in reference to the trade center's Building 7 which was deliberately imploded, a point that 9-11 researchers have documented relentlessly.

PICTURED: Sheldon Adelson and Larry Silverstein are two powerful Zionist figures who have bankrolled the intrigues of GOP kingpin Newt Gingrich

And while Gingrich has not yet made any major formal steps toward running for the presidency, he maintains considerable influence in Washington, (See accompanying story)

That Gingrich should mention Bayh as a possible running mate is very interesting in the context of an orchestrated toppling of the two-party system by the powerful financial interests that control the mass media — the global money lords who are the forces dominating the Federal Reserve System.

In fact, on the very day that *The New York Times*, another major voice for the barons of finance, featured a commentary by Bayh entitled "Why I'm Leaving the Senate," in which Bayh complained of "strident partisanship" and "unyielding ideology," former Republican Sen. Lincoln Chafee, one of those much-touted "centrists" who is now running as an independent for governor of Rhode Island, was also featured on that same page in the *Times* predicting: "Expect Democratic and GOP dysfunction to lead to a third party."

Chafee wrote of the fact that, in 2001, pollster John Zogby told the Republican Senate caucus that "There is a burgeoning centrist third party waiting to be formed."

In Chafee's summary of Zogby's words, "Either party could make a strategic decision to capture the center . . . or both could wait for a third party to fill the vacuum." For his own part, Chafee wrote:

> With our hopes for a post-partisan era still unmet, I say to Senator Bayh: Welcome to the club of independents who are looking for a better way to serve. Before long, we centrists may even come together to define the third party that Mr. Zogby foresaw in 2001.
>
> It has happened before. In 1856, my former party ran a credible presidential campaign just two years after its founding. Four years later, Abraham Lincoln won the White House under that new Republican banner. If my friend Evan Bayh can walk away from the United States Senate and not look back, more power to him. But my guess is, he has a modern-day reprise of the Lincoln victory in mind.

It may be no coincidence that Bayh's name happens to be one of those popping up in the type of scenario discussed here. In 1999 Bayh was a participant in the Sintra, Portugal convocation of the annual meeting of the Bilderberg group, the secretive international policymaking power bloc created jointly in the mid-1950s by the combined forces of the Rothschild dynasty and their American satellites in the Rockefeller family network.

Is Bayh now slated with other key "centrists," who are said to be "fed up with partisan gridlock," to be part of a phony "third party" conjured up by the power elite? That question remains to be answered. But as the overview of the continuing propaganda campaign for a third party appearing in the controlled media demonstrates, it appears that something is definitely afoot.

You heard it here first.

Michael Collins Piper can be heard every week day night live on the Internet at republicbroadcasting.org. He is the author of ***Final Judgment***, the controversial "underground bestseller" documenting the collaboration of Israeli intelligence in the assassination of John F. Kennedy. He is also the author of ***The High Priests of War***, ***The New Jerusalem: Zionist Power in America*** , ***The Judas Goats: The Enemy Within***, ***Dirty Secrets: Crime, Conspiracy & Cover-Up in the 20th Century***, ***The GOLEM: Israel's Hell Bomb***, and ***Target: Traficant.*** These works can be found at America First Books and First Amendment Books: 1-888-699-NEWS. He has lectured on suppressed topics in places as diverse as Malaysia, Japan, Canada, Russia and Abu Dhabi.

(Issue #22, May 31, 2010, **AMERICAN FREE PRESS**)